

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,058 07/17/2003		07/17/2003	Mark Linehan	SOM919980031US2	2265	
58776	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
•		LEWIS, LLP	CANGIALOSI, SALVATORE A			
90 FOREST LOCUST V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3621			
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			058	LINEHAN, MARK						
			er	Art Unit						
			re Cangialosi	3621						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eeply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 7 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is					
Disposition of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the E	withdrawn from o								
_	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	n to the drawing(s) e correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se tired if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CF						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	)-152)					

Serial Number: 10/622,058

Art Unit: 3621

1. Claims 1-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 3 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Taken as a whole the claims recite an undue multiplicity of claims by virtue of the unreasonable number of claims presented would tend to obfuscate, confuse, and becloud the claimed invention. Because the examiner believes that in his judgment that twenty (20) claims are sufficient to properly define applicants' invention, applicants are required to select certain claims, not to exceed twenty for examination on the merits of which no more than six are independent claims, See M.P.E.P. 2173.05(n). Note also, the office has held that more than six independent claims (4.9% of cases filed) in an application is unreasonable (See Federal register below). To be complete the non-selected claims must be cancelled or the applicant(s) must present appropriate arguments as to why the above rejection is in error. Note most patents (80%) have less than twenty claims while patents in excess of 70 claims are less than 1.23 per cent of all cases filed and thus rare (See Federal Register: October 5, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 192, Page 53507). Note also the new excess claim fees effective 12/8/04 as evidence of what is considered to be unreasonable.

It is further noted that it would appear that a multiplicity of inventions also appear to be involved and the applicants are

Serial Number: 10/622,058

Art Unit: 3621

requested to group their selection accordingly to read on a single invention. The applicant should group the claims according to what he believes to be distinct inventions that may be restricted in a subsequent action.

2. Claims 1-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All old (patent) independent claims contain the alternative "and/or credit are available" which are not positive limitations. (See In re Collier, 158 USPQ 266) It is not clear what is being claimed. The claims require only a possibility rather than an actual limitation. For example, anything is possible given sufficient time and resources. Certain claims (55-67)) contain the terms "is available... will be able etc." which depend on the performance of future acts and are not positive limitations. (See In re Collier, 158 USPQ 266) It is not clear what is being claimed. The claims require only a possibility rather than an actual limitation. For example, anything is possible given sufficient time and resources.

Applicants are being afforded the courtesy of a written response due to the complexity of the case. Applicant's response filed 2/2/2006 is not responsive since no selection was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed

Serial Number: 10/622,058

Art Unit: 3621

to Salvatore Cangialosi at telephone number (571) 272-6927. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 Am to 5:00 PM, Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached at (571) 272-6712.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to (571) 273-8300

Hand delivered responses should be brought to

United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 3600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SALVATORE CANGIALOSI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 222